Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/590,145	BORTOLUSSI, CLAUDIO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Trinh T. Nguyen	3644
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Trinh T. Nguyen.	(3)	
(2) Attorney Howell.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 May 2009.		
Type: a)☑ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: of record.		
Identification of prior art discussed: of record.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A		
Substance of Intensieve including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Language was discussed and if agreed that the proceeds amendments (see attached Examiner's Amend.) would distinguish the invention over the prior art and thus put the case in condition for allowances.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See MPEP Section 73.04, H a reyly to be last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DAYS. OR THE MEANING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DAYMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on everse side or on attached sheet.		
/Trinh T Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3644		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)